UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	<

DAWN WOODWARD,

Plaintiff,

٧.

DECISION AND ORDER 15-CV-246-A

CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY, NEW YORK, and any of its agents who may have been involved, CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, and any of its agents who may have been involved, JOSEPH A. GERACE, Individually and as Chautauqua County Sheriff. JS HOVER and LT. NELSON, Chautauqua County Sheriff's Deputies, Individually and as Chautaugua County Sheriff's Deputies, NEW YORK STATE POLICE, and any of its agents who may have been involved, JOSEPH A. D'AMICO, Individually and as New York State Police Superintendent, J.B. CRESANTI and DEREK J. RAICHEL and TROOPER DESTRO, New York State Police Troopers, Individually and as New York State Troopers.

## Defendants.

This action was referred to Magistrate Judge Michael J. Roemer pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). On July 5, 2016, Magistrate Judge Roemer filed a Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 58), recommending that the defendants' motion to dismiss (Dkt. No. 35) be granted in part and denied in part. Specifically, Magistrate Judge Roemer recommends that the Complaint against the New York State Police be dismissed in its entirety for lack of jurisdiction, and that all claims against defendants D'Amico, Cresanti, Destro and Raichel in their official capacity be dismissed.

Case 1:15-cv-00246-RJA-MJR Document 63 Filed 08/25/16 Page 2 of 2

The Court has carefully reviewed the Report and Recommendation, the record in

this case, and the pleadings and materials submitted by the parties, and no objections

having been timely filed, it is hereby

**ORDERED**, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), for the reasons set forth in

Magistrate Judge Roemer's Report and Recommendation, defendants' motion to

dismiss is granted in part and denied in part. The Complaint against the New York

State Police is dismissed in its entirety for lack of jurisdiction, and all claims against

defendants D'Amico, Cresanti, Destro and Raichel in their official capacities are

dismissed. Because the Defendants' Answer does not contest service of process [62],

Defendant's motion to dismiss is therefore otherwise denied. It is also

**ORDERED** that the parties shall abide by the schedule for further proceedings

that has been entered by Magistrate Judge Roemer.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Richard J. Arcara

HONORABLE RICHARD J. ARCARA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Dated: August 25, 2016